

Interlingual Live Subtitling for Access

Free ILSA Multiplier Event Antwerp, July 6th, 2020

Present and Future of Interlingual Live Subtitling:
Research, Training
and Practice







Interlingual Live Subtitling for Access

IO6: Quality Assessment of the New Material and Progress Recommendations for ILSA

Jesús Meiriño-Gómez & Hayley Dawson Universidade de Vigo | University of Roehampton







AIM

☐ To test and check the appropriateness and quality of the course design and course materials.



OBJECTIVES

- ☐ To evaluate participants' performance before the course.
- ☐ To assess participants' performance and knowledge after taking the course.
- ☐ To evaluate the materials of the different modules.



OUTPUT

☐ A report on how the professional profile, the course design and the training materials could be updated and improved.



STAGES

- ☐ Summative assessment
 - Pre-test-post-test design.
- ☐ Formative assessment
 - The content of the course was tested at each stage.



SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT

Pre-test 1 Pilot study (8 participants) □ Three hours of training = 97.38% (3/10) Main study (44 subtitling and interpreting students) □ Three weeks of training with potential ILSA materials □ Readings, source text videos, tasks □ Intralingual respeaking = 98.24% (5.5/10) □ Interlingual respeaking = 97.36% (3/10)



SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT

Pre-test 2 □ Interlingual respeaking course (7 trainees) □ 8 weeks of intra- and 8 weeks of interlingual respeaking □ Readings on different genres of TV □ Videos on sports, news, weather, speeches, and interviews □ Self-assessment with the NTR model □ Intralingual respeaking - 99.5% (8/10) □ Interlingual respeaking = 98% (5/10)



SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT

- □ Post-test
 - ☐ ILSA course
 - ☐ Interlingual respeaking test
 - □ NTR model (Romero-Fresco and Pöchhacker, 2017)

Pl	P2	P3	P4	P5	P6	P7	P8	P9	P10	Pll
99.40	99.04	98.93	98.90	98.86	98.82	98.70	98.60	98.40	98.18	98.10
P12	P13	P14	P15	P16	P17	P18	P19	P20	P21	P22
98.04	97.98	97.80	97.72	97.48	97.33	96.60	96.40	96.34	95.57	94.10

97.78



FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT

Strong points	Areas that need improvement
✓ Selection of theoretical and practical contents	Lack of information regardingSpanish-speaking countries
√ Video tutorials	Extension of some units
✓ Clarity of presentation	Some repetition throughout
✓ Structure of the course	 Need of practise to master the software Minor technical defects



RECOMMENDATIONS

- ☐ Dictation and software management
- ☐ Start with intralingual respeaking
- Progressively introduce intra- and interlingual respeaking tasks
- ☐ Varied audiovisual material
- Quality assessment



Thanks for your attention!

Questions?

jemeirino@uvigo.es dawsonh@roehampton.ac.uk



References:

- □ Dawson, H. (2019) 'Feasibility, Quality and Assessment of Interlingual Live Subtitling: A Pilot Study', *Journal of Audiovisual Translation*, 2(2). Available at: http://www.jatjournal.org/index.php/jat/article/view/72
- □ Dawson, H and Romero-Fresco, P. (forthcoming in 2020) 'Towards research-informed training in interlingual respeaking: an empirical approach', *The Interpreter and Translator Trainer*.
- □ Romero-Fresco, P. & Pöchhacker, F. (2017). Quality assessment in interlingual live subtitiling: The NTR Model. *Linguistica Antverpiensia, New Series: Themes in Translation Studies, 16*, 149-167.



This project has been funded with support from the European Commission.

This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Universida_{de}Vigo









